

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

o C

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		ING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/674,752	09/30/2003		Harui Fukuda	44471/292229	4224
23370	7590	07/07/2005		EXAMINER	
JOHN S. P			COE, SUSAN D		
KILPATRICK STOCKTON, LLP 1100 PEACHTREE STREET ATLANTA, GA 30309				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				1655	
			DATE MAILED: 07/07/2005	DATE MAILED: 07/07/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/674,752	FUKUDA, HARUI					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Susan D. Coe	1655					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period who is a reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days fill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status	•	•					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 22 April 2005.							
2a)⊠ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)☐ This	action is non-final.						
,—	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-17 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-17 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.						
Application Papers							
9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>							
Attachment(s)	_						
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)     Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da						
<ul> <li>2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)</li> <li>Paper No(s)/Mail Date</li> </ul>		atent Application (PTO-152)					

Application/Control Number: 10/674,752 Page 2

Art Unit: 1655

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

1. The amendment filed April 22, 2005, has been received and entered. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code, not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

2. Claims 1-17 are pending.

## Specification

3. The amendment filed September 30, 2003 is objected to under 35 U.S.C. 132 because it introduces new matter into the disclosure for the reasons set forth in the previous Office action.

All of applicant's arguments regarding this ground of rejection have been fully considered but are not persuasive. Applicant argues that deleting "shoots of pine leaves" does not alter the initial disclosure because the amendment corrects an obvious unintentional error. Applicant argues that one of ordinary skill would recognize that "shoots of pine leaves" is erroneous because the correct term is "pine shoots" which refer to new growth of pine while pine needles refers to pine leaves. However, these arguments are not persuasive. The art recognizes two types of pine shoots. "Short shoots" which become pine leaves, and "long shoots" which become branches (see <a href="https://www.atl.cfs.nrcan.gc.ca/index-e/what-e/publications-e/afcpublications-e/mx212-e/redpine-e.html">https://www.atl.cfs.nrcan.gc.ca/index-e/what-e/publications-e/afcpublications-e/mx212-e/redpine-e.html</a>; p. 2 "Morphology"). The short shoots would be considered shoots of pine leaves with another type of shoot also present in pine. Thus, since there are more than one type of pine shoot, "shoots of pine leaves" cannot be interpreted to refer to all types of pine shoots. Therefore, the deletion of "shoots of pine leaves" is considered to improperly broaden the disclosure by deleting a previously disclosed embodiment.

Applicant also argues that the foreign priority document supports the amendment.

However, applicant has not provided a translation of said document to support this arguments.

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. Claims 1-6, 16, and 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by JP 61289865 for the reasons set forth in the previous Office action.

All of applicant's arguments regarding this ground of rejection have been fully considered but are not persuasive. Applicant argues that the reference does not anticipate the claimed invention because the reference teaches fermentation of pine needles rather than pine shoots. However, the English abstract of the reference clearly states that pine shoots are fermented along with sugar and water. Pine leaves are present as well; however, applicant's claims use the broad transitional phrase "comprising." As discussed in MPEP section 2111.03, "The transitional term "comprising", which is synonymous with "including," "containing," or "characterized by," is inclusive or open-ended and does not exclude additional, unrecited elements or method steps...". Thus, the reference properly anticipates the stated claims because applicant's claims encompass unrecited elements.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

5. Claims 7-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over JP 61289865 for the reasons set forth in the previous Office action.

All of applicant's arguments regarding this ground of rejection have been fully considered but are not persuasive. Applicant argues that the reference does not provide motivation to omit the mushrooms or pine needles from the fermentation. however, applicant's claims use the broad transitional phrase "comprising." As discussed in MPEP section 2111.03,

Application/Control Number: 10/674,752

Art Unit: 1655

"The transitional term "comprising", which is synonymous with "including," "containing," or "characterized by," is inclusive or open-ended and does not exclude additional, unrecited elements or method steps...". Thus, omission of the mushrooms and pine needles is not required to meet applicant's claims.

6. Claim 15 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over JP '865 as applied to claims 7-14 above, and further in view of JP 07-0159538 for the reasons set forth in the previous Office action.

All of applicant's arguments regarding this ground of rejection have been fully considered but are not persuasive. Applicant reiterates the arguments made against JP '865 alone. Therefore, the rejection is considered valid for the reasons stated above.

7. No claims are allowed.

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event,

Art Unit: 1655

however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Susan Coe whose telephone number is (571) 272-0963. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Thursday from 8:00 to 5:30 and on alternating Fridays.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Bruce Campell, can be reached on (571) 272-0974. The official fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding can be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (571) 272-1600.

Susan D. Coe

Primary Examiner

Summer tol

6-29-05

Art Unit 1655